



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/540,028

06/22/2005

Etsuko Kadowaki

Q73675

8868

23373 7590 03/06/2008
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

MULCAHY, PETER D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1796

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/540,028	Applicant(s) KADOWAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter D. Mulcahy	Art Unit 1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peter D. Mulcahy. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. Kramer. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Samples of peroxide cured and uncured cis-1,4-polybutadiene and 1,2-polybutadiene showing that the bending strength of the cured claimed 1,2-polybutadiene is higher than the cured cis-1,4-polybutadiene.

Claim(s) discussed: All Pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,436,567.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants pointed out the property differences between the cured products and alleged that the art suggested the use of rubber so as to improve impact strength. This would lead one of ordinary skill to select the cis-1,4 polybutadiene which is outside the scope of the claims as presented. This will be considered when filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.